| | Case 2:20-cv-00599-WBS-KJN Docume | nt 16 Filed 04/29/20 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | JEFFREY CHARLES WREN, | No. 2: 20-cv-0599 WBS KJN P |
| 12 | Petitioner, | |
| 13 | v. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | WARDEN OF AVENAL STATE PRISON, | |
| 15 | Respondent. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of habeas | |
| 19 | corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 2254. In the instant petition, petitioner challenges his 2008 Placer | |
| 20 | County drunk driving conviction. Petitioner is proceeding with another habeas corpus petition in | |
| 21 | this court challenging the same conviction, case 2:19-cv-251 WBS KJN P. | |
| 22 | "[W]hen a pro se petitioner files a new petition in the district court while an earlier-filed | |
| 23 | petition is still pending, the district court must construe the new petition as a motion to amend the | |
| 24 | pending petition rather than as an unauthorized second or successive petition." Goodrum v. | |
| 25 | <u>Busby</u> , 824 F.3d 1188, 1192 (9th Cir. 2016) (final emphasis added) (citing <u>Woods v. Carey</u> , 525 | |
| 26 | F.3d 886, 887–90 (9th Cir. 2008)). | |
| 27 | //// | |
| 28 | | 1 |
| | | 1 |

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to file the petition filed in the instant action (ECF No. 1) as an amended petition in case 19-cv-251; the Clerk of the Court shall then close the instant action. Dated: April 28, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Wre599.ord

Case 2:20-cv-00599-WBS-KJN Document 16 Filed 04/29/20 Page 2 of 2